
This anthology, edited by Rayna Reiter[-Rapp], introduced issues and put forward questions which have both spoken to, and shaped, the concerns and research of many American feminist anthropologists since its publication in 1975. Certain of the issues have been resolved: others have led to research into related problems. But much research has been generated in response to, or in alliance with, the concerns aired in the various articles. In the interests of space, I will urge the uninitiated to engage themselves in the essays. As a collection, it is an archaeology of knowledge about the anthropology of women in its us variant formative era. Taken individually, many of the articles have weathered the Sturm und Drang of reductionist materialism, universalistic forms of structuralism, and more recently, the onslaught of sociobiology. Several of the theses remain controversial, while others have proven invaluable in ways perhaps not envisioned at the time of writing.

The issues raised in the book may be grouped under three major questions. What are the origins of women's structural subordination? What is the quality of the data on which we base our investigations into women's oppression? What perspective can the Marxist tradition provide—and how must we answer its deficiencies—for our critical reappraisal of women's status and potentials? The articles address issues of biological determinism (Liebowitz), oppression being related to differential strength and family structure origins (Gough), matriarchy as a needed charter/myth (Webster), the androcentrism inherent in most anthropologists' research concerns, data collection and interpretation—and how ethnology is thereby distorted (Slocum, Faithorn, Rohrlich-Leavitt, Sykes and Weatherford). The Marxist tradition—largely through the work of Eleanor Leacock, and Engels' Origin of the Family, Private Property and the State—has a great influence on a number of the authors. Beginning with the semi-autonomy of gender hierarchy within capitalist societies, these articles seek sources of gender oppression in various historical transformations. Draper explains the loss of authority and autonomy of sedentarized! Kung women through their lack of ownership of strategic property. J. Brown attributes Iroquois women's considerable authority to their control of food distribution within and outside households. Rubin finds oppression rooted in the cultural constitution of gender identity, what she termed the sex/gender system of a culture. Sacks and Reiter specifically focus on State/class formation as setting up separated, unequal spheres of activity (public and private or domestic) which come to be gender-linked. Other authors examine the effects of capital penetration on women of different classes and occupational categories, and how those structural effects come to be internalized. S. Brown discusses household and family structures of lower class Dominicans; Rubbo delineates the creation of differing degrees of economic dependence on men for proletarian and peasant Colombian women, due to increasing capital penetra-
tion. Silverman and Harding investigate the internalization of patriarchy: the association of life crises with socioeconomic functions on the one hand, and the structuring of personal influence in the absence of social authority on the other. Remy's case study examines the economic, educational, ideological and political structures which limit the involvement of urban Nigerian women with regard to the neo-colonial political sector, and the growing capitalist sector; at the same time, they can no longer participate in traditional productive and exchange activities, for religious reasons (Islamic conformity) or because those products have been undercut by manufactured goods. Diamond's essay closes the book with an analysis, as of 1974, of struggles against entrenched patriarchal ideology in the People's Republic.

Clearly, some of the major directions in women's anthropology today have taken off from ideas developed in these essays. Research concerning gender constitution, and the sex/gender systems of kinship and class societies owes much to Rubin's pioneering formulation. Those involved in ethnohistorical research regarding State formation build on ground prepared by Rapp and Sacks. The critiques of male-centered methodologies have given many support in facing still-hostile committees. The collection is a grounding in the issues, and some of the initial approaches to problems confronting anthropologists doing research on women are still useful. (Anyone who considers the book outmoded should talk with beginning university students about "the woman question"). At the time these articles were written, students like myself were being told in a major university that women were passive exchangees in a man's game, that the Yanamamó were male-dominant—without mentioning the rather extraordinary colonial history of the Amazonian basin, that gatherers and hunters were "the Hunters", and so forth. Today, there is sociobiology, structuralist exchange theory still extracts content from form, and form from context; and the antidialectical materialists continue to find rational functions for virtually every oppressive structure created historically.

*Toward an Anthropology of Women* emerged from questioning anthropological traditions, from fieldwork and archival research which sometimes scandalized male-centered advisors, from the political struggles of women anthropologists in the classrooms, on the home front, on the job (and on the unemployment) line. The research and the struggles continue; perhaps that is why the anthology remains useful and exciting.

Christine Ward Gailey
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This book was the first general and theoretically oriented collection of essays to come out of the new Anthropology of Gender and thus in some ways marks the emergence of the field itself as a legitimate subdiscipline in anthropology. Many of the book's papers have been enormously influential, and the issues they raise have proven central in the study of sex roles and ideologies; it thus behooves us to summarize and discuss these fundamental questions as they are developed in the papers.1

The basic issue that permeates the collection are the twin questions: Are women

1. Those articles which there is not enough space to discuss here nevertheless are equally interesting and stimulating: M. Wolf on China, N. Tanner on matrifocality, C. Stack on Afro-Americans, and L. Lamphere on cooperation and competition of women in domestic groups.